

Enhanced Leak Detection (ELD) Testing Experiences

SAM Forum

September 22, 2004



Testing Experience

- 1. Presentation is limited to a discussion of existing sites only
- 2. Sites have double wall tanks and double wall pipes in addition to secondary containment requirements.
- 3. Cost per site varies; depends on site conditions
- 4. Most failures occur in the tank containment sumps
- 5. Passing Rate: Depends
- 6. Button-up practices: Pressure decay test followed by He testing
- 7. Cost Comparison



ELD Testing Experiences

Focus Area	Objectives	Outcomes
Testing	Originally test 60 existing facilities.	40 sites tested: 38 - remote tested 2 - mobile lab 25 Reports received to date 10 passed on the first attempt 15 failed first attempt (majority of failures in the tank sumps) 6 sites retested: 5 - Mobile lab test 1 - remote test (failure in one tank sump) Unofficial report: 5 of 6 passed
Cost Comparison	Evaluate Mobile Lab Testing v. Remote Testing	 Mobile Labs have very high passing rate due to real time data/subsequent repairs/retest Twice as expensive as remote testing.
Button-up	Ensure a Vapor tight system prior to tracer test	Costs vary Use Helium